

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Case No. 06-594-JPD
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	ORDER DENYING STIPULATED
	)	MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO
JONG CHUN BAE,	)	FILE INDICTMENT
	)	
Defendant.	)	


---

On November 30, 2006, the parties to the above-captioned matter filed a Stipulated Motion to Extend Time to File Indictment. Dkt. No. 13. The motion argued that granting a continuance would satisfy the “ends of justice” by providing the parties additional time “necessary to review discovery materials, investigate the facts and to negotiate a resolution to this matter.” *Id.* at 1. In addition, they argued that failure to grant the extension would deny defendant his right to have a reasonable amount of time to prepare for trial. *Id.*

Congress, however, “did not intend the ‘ends of justice’ exclusion to be granted as a matter of course but rather [intended it] to be used sparingly and only when necessary.” *United States v. Lewis*, 980 F.2d 555, 560 (9th Cir. 1992) (internal citations omitted). Hence, an “ends of justice” exclusion may be granted only for a specific duration when “justified [on the record] with reference to the facts as of the time the delay is ordered.” *United States v. Ramirez-Cortez*, 213 F.3d 1149, 1154 (9th Cir. 2000). Generalized assertions that the “ends of justice” will be satisfied by the granting of a continuance are insufficient. *Id.* at 1154-55.

01 Here, the parties have provided no evidence, nor adduced any facts from the record  
02 sufficient to justify granting a continuance. Instead, they have vaguely alluded to the fact that  
03 a meeting between the parties may encourage resolution of this matter, and asserted that a  
04 continuance would satisfy the ends of justice. Dkt. No. 12. These vague assertions are  
05 insufficient to justify a continuance. Moreover, the Court notes that defendant has not filed a  
06 waiver of his right to a speedy trial. The parties' motion is therefore DENIED.

07  
08 DATED this 30th day of November, 2006.

09   
10 JAMES P. DONOHUE  
11 United States Magistrate Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26